

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/929,823	08/13/2001	Ulrich F. Buddemeier	EYEM1380	8835
500	7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			CAO, HUEDUNG X	
701 FIFTH AV SUITE 6300	VE		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	/A 98104-7092		2821	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•	1	
Office A - 41 0		09/929,823	BUDDEMEIER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Huedung X Cao	2821
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	9 April 2004.	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposit	tion of Claims		
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicati	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.	
5)[Claim(s) 1-7 and 14-20 is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 8 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been	Application No
13)□ / s 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a lacknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the B7 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language	ist of the certified copies no estic priority under 35 U.S.C first sentence of the specifi	 § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet.
14) 🔲 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachmer	nt(s)		
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/929,823

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenfeld (US 6307576 B1).

As per claim 8, Rosenfeld teaches an article of manufacture comprising a machine-readable medium having instructions stored thereon to cause a processor to translate an animation vector to a target mix vector" (Rosenfelt, figure 3) by: generating a calibration vector; and mapping the animation vector to the target mix vector using the

Application/Control Number: 09/929,823

Art Unit: 2821

calibration vector (Rosenfeld, column 6, line 25 to column 7, line 35). It is noted that Rosenfeld does not explicitly disclose an animation vector, a target mix vector, a calibration vector as claimed; however, Rosenfeld's the morph weight sets transitions from it's current state to its target state (column 6, lines 54-59) analogous to Applicant's animation vector, target mix vector, and calibration vector. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made using the morph weight sets with the weights as the components of the vectors for the transitions, current, target state to produce facial expression in the production of animated product because in Rosenfeld teaching, the animation vector (i.e., the current state) is mapped by the calibration vector (i.e., the transitionary curve) into the target mix vector (i.e., the target state) for forming the animation process.

4. Applicant's arguments filed April 23, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant argues that for claim 8, Rosenfeld uses a manual technique that requires a user to define a set of rules to associate phonemes with morph sets which is not correct. Given a set of rules, the mapping between the phonemes and morph sets is automatically performed without any involving of user; furthermore, it would have been obvious for defining a sets of rules in advance, then the system can later automatically perform the operations according to a previously set up.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/929,823

Art Unit: 2821

5. Claims 9-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Claims 1-7, and 14-20 are allowed.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/929,823

Art Unit: 2821

Inquires

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Huedung Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1939.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Huedung Cao

Patent Examiner

Page 5

Primary Examiner